



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Geriatric Oncology



The SIOG COVID-19 working group recommendations on the rollout of COVID-19 vaccines among older adults with cancer

The COVID-19 pandemic continues to negatively impact our society. Older adults are at increased risk of morbidity and mortality. People who are frail, living in residential care facility, and/or with comorbidities, including cancer are disproportionately disadvantaged. To reduce the risk of infection among older adults with cancer, several anticancer

therapies have been prioritized, delayed, de-escalated, or omitted based on clinical need (1). However, public health interventions remain critical to mitigate transmission and minimize adverse outcomes. Of these, mass immunization is perhaps a more effective preventive health measure and potentially a key exit strategy from this crisis.

1. Considerations on the role of COVID-19 vaccines in older patients with cancer

To date, data on eight COVID-19 vaccines have been successfully submitted for authorization by the World Health Organization (2), five vaccines have reported results on efficacy and/or safety (Table 1), and over

Table 1
Summary of the published results on COVID-19 Vaccines and efficacy in older people (in alphabetical order).

Vaccine	N	Design	Type	Main inclusion criteria	Main exclusion criteria	Dose interval	Efficacy	Older adults inclusion and vaccine safety
AstraZeneca AZD1222 (5,12)	11,636	Single blind	Chimpanzee adenovirus vectored vaccine	Age \geq 18 years	Severe or uncontrolled medical comorbidities Participants aged \geq 65 years with a Dalhousie Clinical Frailty Score of \geq 4	LD (2.2×10^{10} virus particles) or SD ($3.5-6.5 \times 10^{10}$ virus particles) x2 28 days apart	70.4%	\geq 70 years (9.5%) In phase II component $<$ 70 ($n = 79$) vs. \geq 70 ($n = 49$) years: Similar antibody response across all age groups Fewer reactogenicity events <i>Localized AEs:</i> 82% vs. 61% <i>Systemic AEs:</i> 82% vs. 65%
Gam-COVID-Vac (Sputnik V) (13)	19,866	Double blind	recombinant replication-deficient adenovirus	Age \geq 18 years	Immunosuppression	1×10^{11} viral particles x 2, 21 days apart	91.6% >60: 91.8%	>60 years (10.8%)
Janssen Ad26.COV2-S (6)	805	Single blind	Modified adenovirus	Healthy adults of 2 age cohorts 1a: 18–55 years 3: \geq 65 years	–	LD: (5×10^{10} viral particles) or HD: (1×10^{11} viral particles) in single vs. 2 doses, 56 days apart	>90%	\geq 65 years (50%) Cohort 1a vs. 3 Lower Immune response LD: 100% vs. 91% HD: 100% vs. 94% Lower incidence of AEs <i>Localized AEs</i> LD: 64% vs. 41% HD: 65% vs. 84% <i>Systemic AEs</i> LD: 78% vs. 42% HD: 46% vs. 55%
Moderna mRNA-1273 (14)	30,420	Double blind	mRNA	Age \geq 18 years At high risk of COVID-19 infection by location or comorbidities	Immunosuppression	100 μ g x2 28 days apart	94.1% <64: 95.6% \geq 65: 86.4%	>65 years (25%) Less common AEs in \geq 65 (89%) vs. 18–64 (93%) years
Pfizer BioNTech BNT162b2 (15)	43,548	Double blind	mRNA	Age \geq 16 Healthy or stable chronic medical conditions	Immunosuppression	30 μ g x2 21 days apart	95%	>65 years (21%) Lower reactogenicity events in >55 years (2.8%) vs. 16–55 years (4.6%)

LD: low dose; HD: high dose; SD: standard dose; AE: adverse events

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgo.2021.03.003>

1879-4068/© 2021 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article as: A.R. Mislav, E. Soto-Perez-de-Celis, C. Russo, et al., J Geriatr Oncol, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgo.2021.03.003>

50 are at various stages of development. As vaccines are made available to the general population, their rollout should be prioritized for those at higher risk of adverse outcomes including hospitalization and/or death. Older individuals are traditionally excluded from or underrepresented in clinical trials, and the same holds true for COVID-19 vaccine studies (3). Similarly, patients with cancer, comorbidities, or immunosuppression have been excluded. Therefore, clinicians are expected to make recommendations based on the risk-benefit ratio and extrapolation of trial data to the real world until more information becomes available.

The efficacy of vaccines relies on an intact host response, which could be disrupted in people with myelosuppression due to cancer or its treatment. Age-related dysregulation and immune dysfunction, called immunosenescence, could potentially result in lower immunogenicity of vaccines in older adults (4). Physical exercise may augment vaccine-specific antibody responses; however, activities are limited by the imposed counter-pandemic measures. An adjuvanted vaccine may be used to overcome immunosenescence, as shown in the AZD1222 trial (5).

Variability in the relationship between neutralizing- and binding-antibody titres in older adults was seen in the Ad26.COV2.S trial (6). Nevertheless, vaccine efficacy appears to be consistent in older subgroups with a trend for lower reactogenicity (Table 1). Notably, these findings are all based on short-term analyses, where the long-term efficacy is still unclear. Also, these studies did not include frailty measures nor large groups of older individuals, which limit the characterization of those recruited. Longer follow-up from vaccine trials will provide insight into the impact of vaccination on COVID-19 transmissibility, asymptomatic infections, or emerging mutant strains. The role of anticancer treatments, age, frailty and functional status on vaccine efficacy also needs to be investigated. Despite these caveats, the International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG) COVID-19 Working Group advocates for a call to action to prioritize older adults with cancer in the vaccine rollout to protect this vulnerable group from the adverse outcomes of COVID-19, even in the absence of robust data.

The SIOG COVID-19 Working Group supports the following recommendations on the rollout of the COVID-19 vaccines for all older patients with cancer:

Recommendation	Rationale
A. For immediate action	
Prioritize the rollout of vaccines to individuals at disproportionate risk of death and other complications from COVID-19, including older patients with active or progressive cancer, or anticancer therapy at high risk for immunosuppression	Higher 30-day all-cause mortality observed in patients with older age, comorbidities, active or progressive cancer (7).
Implement the use of regulated vaccines at the earliest opportunity, especially in areas with high community transmission	No specific data available on COVID-19 vaccine. Data extrapolated from experiences with influenza vaccine (8). Recommendations from the UK Chemotherapy Board and Public Health England "Green Book" on Immunization Against Infectious Disease.
• For older patients receiving active anticancer therapy - if possible, schedule vaccination at the time of bone marrow function recovery and a few days before the next cycle to maximize its efficacy and minimize the impact of potential side effects on ongoing anticancer treatments.	The efficacy and timing on patients on immunosuppressive therapy still needs to be established.
Persevere with community-based intervention strategies, such as physical distancing, hand hygiene, mask wearing, and use of personal protective equipment to mitigate transmission, even for patients and healthcare professionals that have already been vaccinated	Limited evidence exists on the impact of vaccines on COVID-19 transmission. The timing and level of measures to contain the virus, such as travel restrictions, facilities shutdowns, and social distancing have impacted the incidence and mortality from COVID-19 (9).
Facilitate the availability of vaccines for older adults with cancer living in low and middle-income countries by means of negotiation of fair prices and by	In line with WHO recommendations for Let's #ACTogether for #VaccinEquity and the United Nations COVAX program.

(continued)

Recommendation	Rationale
equitable distribution of the vaccine supply through international collaborations and partnerships. Ensure equitable and timely access to vaccines in older people within community, local, or national level. Prioritize older patients with cancer from socially and medically disadvantaged populations, including those with poor access to healthcare or from underrepresented racial/ethnic groups, in vaccination campaigns. Create and disseminate educational messaging and risk communication campaigns aimed at convincing older adults with cancer and their caregivers of the value and safety of vaccination Foster collaboration with advocacy groups to dispel simplistic and populist statements suggesting that "access to vaccines should be prioritized based on the capacity to contribute to economy", as these stigmatize aging people as a burden, thereby compromising ethics and health equity	Higher incidence and mortality from COVID-19 in racial/ethnic minorities likely related to underlying disparities in social determinants of health (10). Avoid "fake news", misinformation, and minimize confusion from several media platforms by disseminating accurate information that is readily available/accessible to a wider audience. Advocacy, community engagement, and cross-sectoral collaborations are key strategies to COVID-19 response (11).
B. For subsequent action	
Investigate the vaccines' long-term safety, seroconversion, and seroprotection rates in older adults with cancer	Populations included in phase III randomized controlled trials were mostly younger individuals without comorbidities. "Real-world" evidence can further support the effectiveness COVID-19 vaccines among other populations such as older adults and patients with cancer.
Prioritize investigations on the impact of aging, reduction in physical activities, function, frailty, and anticancer treatments on vaccine efficacy and adverse effects	

Therefore, SIOG joins the call of other international organizations for prioritizing patients at higher risk of morbidity and mortality from COVID-19, specifically older adults with cancer, when implementing global and local vaccination plans.

References

- [1] Battisti NML, Mislant AR, Cooper L, O'Donovan A, Audisio RA, Cheung KL, et al. Adapting care for older cancer patients during the COVID-19 pandemic: recommendations from the International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG) COVID-19 working group. *J Geriatr Oncol.* 2020;11(8):1190-8.
- [2] Organization WH. Status of COVID-19 Vaccines within WHO EUL/PQ evaluation process 2021. Available from: https://extranet.who.int/pqweb/sites/default/files/documents/Status_COVID_VAX_25Jan2021.pdf; 2021.
- [3] Helfand BK, Webb M, Gartaganis SL, Fuller L, Kwon CS, Inouye SK. The exclusion of older persons from vaccine and treatment trials for coronavirus disease 2019-missing the target. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2020;180(11):1546-9.
- [4] Crooke SN, Ovsyannikova IG, Poland GA, Kennedy RB. Immunosenescence and human vaccine immune responses. *Immun Ageing.* 2019;16:25.
- [5] Ramasamy MN, Minassian AM, Ewer KJ, Flaxman AL, Folegatti PM, Owens DR, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine administered in a prime-boost regimen in young and old adults (COV002): a single-blind, randomised, controlled, phase 2/3 trial. *Lancet.* 2020;396(10267):1979-93.
- [6] Sadoff J, Le Gars M, Shukarev G, Heerwegh D, Truysers C, de Groot AM, et al. Interim results of a phase 1-2a trial of Ad26.COV2.S Covid-19 vaccine. *N Engl J Med.* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2034201> Online ahead of print.
- [7] Kuderer NM, Choueiri TK, Shah DP, Shyr Y, Rubinstein SM, Rivera DR, et al. Clinical impact of COVID-19 on patients with cancer (CCC19): a cohort study. *Lancet.* 2020;395(10241):1907-18.
- [8] Vollaard A, Schreuder I, Slok-Raijmakers L, Opstelten W, Rimmelzwaan G, Gelderblom H. Influenza vaccination in adult patients with solid tumours treated with chemotherapy. *Eur J Cancer.* 2017;76:134-43.
- [9] Thu TPB, Ngoc PNH, Hai NM, Tuan LA. Effect of the social distancing measures on the spread of COVID-19 in 10 highly infected countries. *Sci Total Environ.* 2020;742:140430.
- [10] Moore JT, Ricaldi JN, Rose CE, Fuld J, Parise M, Kang CJ, et al. Disparities in Incidence of COVID-19 Among Underrepresented Racial/Ethnic Groups in Counties Identified

as Hotspots During June 5–18, 2020–22 States, February–June 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020;69(33):1122–6.

- [11] Schiavo R. Advocacy, community engagement and cross-sectoral collaborations as key strategies during COVID-19 response and beyond. *J Commun Healthc.* 2020;13(1):1–5.
- [12] Voysey M, Clemens SAC, Madhi SA, Weckx LY, Folegatti PM, Aley PK, et al. Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-CoV-2: an interim analysis of four randomised controlled trials in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK. *Lancet.* 2021;397(10269):99–111.
- [13] Logunov DY, Dolzhikova IV, Shcheblyakov DV, Tukhvatulin AI, Zubkova OV, Dzharullaeva AS, et al. Safety and efficacy of an rAd26 and rAd5 vector-based heterologous prime-boost COVID-19 vaccine: an interim analysis of a randomised controlled phase 3 trial in Russia. *Lancet.* 2021;397(10275):671–81.
- [14] Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, Kotloff K, Frey S, Novak R, et al. Efficacy and safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;384(5):403–16.
- [15] Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart S, et al. Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 vaccine. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383(27):2603–15.

Anna Rachel Mislang

^aDepartment of Medical Oncology, Flinders Centre for Innovation in Cancer, College of Medicine and Public Health, Flinders University, Bedford Park, SA 5042, Australia

*Corresponding author at: Flinders Centre for Innovation in Cancer, Level 4, 1 Flinders Drive, Bedford Park, SA 5042, Australia
E-mail address: anna.mislang@sa.gov.au

Enrique Soto-Perez-de-Celis

^bDepartment of Geriatrics, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Mexico City, Mexico
E-mail address: enrique.sotop@incmnsz.mx

Chiara Russo

^cDepartment of Medical Oncology, Centre Léon Bérard, Regional Comprehensive Cancer Centre, Lyon, France
E-mail address: Chiara.RUSSO@lyon.unicancer.fr

Giuseppe Colloca

^dDipartimento di Diagnostica per Immagini, Radioterapia Oncologica ed Ematologia, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy
E-mail address: giuseppedeferdinando.colloca@policlinicogemelli.it

Grant R. Williams

^eInstitute for Cancer Outcomes and Survivorship, University of Alabama at Birmingham School of Medicine, Birmingham, AL, USA
E-mail address: grwilliams@uabmc.edu

Shane O'Hanlon

^fUniversity College Dublin, St Vincent's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland
E-mail address: shaneohanlon@svhg.ie

Lisa Cooper

^gDivision of Aging, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
E-mail address: lcooper5@bwh.harvard.edu

Anita O'Donovan

^hApplied Radiation Therapy Trinity (ARTT), Trinity St James's Cancer Institute, Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland
E-mail address: Anita.ODonovan@tcd.ie

Riccardo A. Audisio

ⁱDepartment of surgery, Sahlgrenska Academy - University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden
E-mail address: raudisio@doctors.org.uk

Kwok-Leung Cheung

^jSchool of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Royal Derby Hospital Centre, Derby, UK

E-mail address: Kwok_Leung.Cheung@nottingham.ac.uk

Regina Gironés Sarrió

^kDepartment of Medical Oncology, Hospital Universitari i Politècnic La FE, Valencia, Spain
E-mail address: reginagiro@hotmail.com

Reinhard Stauder

^lDepartment of Internal Medicine V (Haematology and Oncology), Innsbruck Medical University, Innsbruck, Austria
E-mail address: reinhard.stauder@i-med.ac.at

Michael Jaklitsch

^mBrigham and Women's Hospital – Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
E-mail address: mjaklitsch@bwh.harvard.edu

Clarito Cairo

ⁿNational Integrated Cancer Control Program, Department of Health, Manila, Philippines
E-mail address: dokclar@gmail.com

Luiz Antonio Giljr

^oGeriatric Division – São Paulo University, São Paulo, Brazil
E-mail address: gil.luizantonio@gmail.com

Schroder Sattar

^pCollege of Nursing – University of Saskatchewan, Regina, Canada
E-mail address: schroder.sattar@usask.ca

Kumud Kantilal

^qSchool of Pharmacy, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK
E-mail address: k.kantilal@uea.ac.uk

Kah Poh Loh

^rUniversity of Rochester Medical Center, Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Medicine, James P. Wilmot Cancer Institute, Rochester, NY, USA
E-mail address: kahpoh_loh@urmc.rochester.edu

Stuart M. Lichtman

^sDepartment of Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA
E-mail address: LichtmaS@mskcc.org

Etienne Brain

^tDepartment of Medical Oncology, Institut Curie, Saint-Cloud, Paris, France
E-mail address: Etienne.brain@curie.fr

Hans Wildiers

^uDepartment of General Medical Oncology, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
E-mail address: hans.wildiers@uzleuven.be

Ravindran Kanesvaran

^vDivision of Medical Oncology, National Cancer Centre Singapore, Singapore
E-mail address: ravindran.kanesvaran@singhealth.com.sg

Nicolò Matteo Luca Battisti

^wBreast Unit – Department of Medicine Department, The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, Breast Cancer Research Division, The Institute of Cancer Research, London, UK
E-mail address: nicolo.battisti@rmh.nhs.uk